Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics manuscript no.
(LaTeX: ms2622.tex; printed on March 11, 2016; 1:26)

arXiv:1603.03296v1 [astro-ph.SR] 10 Mar 2016

FGK 22 μm Excess Stars in LAMOST DR2 Stellar Catalog

Chao-Jian Wu[1,2], Hong Wu[1,2], Kang Liu,[3], Tan-Da Li[2], Ming Yang[1], Man I Lam[1], Fan Yang[1], Yue Wu[1], Yong Zhang[4], Yonghui Hou[4], Guangwei Li[1]

[1] Key Laboratory of Optical Astronomy, National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences Beijing 100012, China; *chjwu@bao.ac.cn*

[2] National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100012, China

[3] Department of Astronomy, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, 100875, P. R. China

[4] Nanjing Institute of Astronomical Optics & Technology, National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing 210042, China

Received —; accepted —

Abstract Since the release of LAMOST (the Large Sky Area Multi-Object Fiber Spectroscopic Telescope) catalog, we have the opportunity to use the LAMOST DR2 stellar catalog and *WISE All-sky catalog* to search for 22 μm excess candidates. In this paper, we present 10 FGK candidates which show an excess in the infrared (IR) at 22 μm. The ten sources are all the newly identified 22 μm excess candidates. Of these 10 stars, 5 stars are F type and 5 stars are G type. The criterion for selecting candidates is $K_s - [22]_{\mu m} \geq 0.387$. In addition, we present the spectral energy distributions (SEDs) covering wavelength from optical to mid-infrared band. Most of them show an obvious excess from 12 μm band and three candidates even show excess from 3.4 μm. To characterize the amount of dust, we also estimate the fractional luminosity of ten 22 μm excess candidates.

Key words: infrared: planetary systems — stars: formation — planetary systems: protoplanetary disks

1 INTRODUCTION

More and more surveys (*IRAS*, *Infrared Space Observatory (ISO)*, *Spitzer Space Telescope*, *Herschel*, *WISE*, etc.) have been conducted to search for IR excess stars (especially the dusty disks and exoplanets system) since the first discovery of the IR excess phenomenon (known as a debris disk) around the Vega in 1980s (Aumann et al., 1984).

In fact, there are many reasons that can cause excess at IR band (Wu et al., 2013). Protostars (Thompson, 1982), surrounding dust disk (Gorlova et al., 2004, 2006; Rhee et al., 2007; Hovhannisyan et al., 2009;

dwarfs or + dusty disks) (Debes et al., 2011), giant stars, background galaxy, background nebula, interstellar medium and random foreground object (Ribas et al., 2012) could all produce infrared excess. In our study, we only focus on the IR excess stars which are caused by protostars and surrounding dust disk.

In previous work, there is not a complete stars catalog with so much spectral information to be used for searching IR excess stars. The LAMOST (Cui et al., 2012) Data Release 2 (DR2) contains 4,136,482 spectra and it is the largest stellar spectral catalog in the world at present. Undoubtedly, it will provide us with unique insights into the population of IR excess stars. More detailed about LAMOST data will be described in Sec 2.1.

While searching the infrared excess stars from LAMOST Stellar Catalog, we also introduce the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE; Wright et al. 2010) All-sky data (Wu et al., 2013). Some recent work about studying IR excess stars with *WISE* have been published. Rizzuto et al. (2012) presented an analysis of 829 *WISE* stars in the Sco-Cen OB2 association and observed that B-type stars have a smaller excess fraction than A and F-type stars. Luhman & Mamajek (2012) found ~ 50 new transitional, evolved and debris disks candidates from *Spitzer* and *WISE* data. Wu et al. (2013) focused on the bright stars ($V_{mag} \leq 10.27$) and searched more than 70 new identified 22 μm excess stars. Vican & Schneider (2014) studied 2820 solar type stars and found 74 new stars with *WISE* excess at 22 μm. Patel et al. (2014) presented a sensitive search for *WISE* W3 and W4 excess candidates within 75 pc from the Sun and expanded the number of known 10-30 μm excesses to 379. Theissen & West (2014a,c,b) studied M dwarfs with *WISE* 12 and 22 μm excess.

In this paper, we introduce LAMOST Survey and describe the candidate selection criterion, source identification in Section 2. In Section 3, we analyze their IR properties, and present their spectral energy distributions (SEDs). The conclusion and summary are presented in Section 4.

2 DATA

2.1 LAMOST Survey

LAMOST, also known as Wang-Su Reflecting Schmidt Telescope, is a new type of wide field telescope with a large aperture. The advantages of wide field and large aperture, combined with 4000 fibers (taking 4000 spectra in a single exposure), provide us with opportunity to carry out even the largest stellar and galactic survey (Zhao et al., 2012). The limiting magnitude is as faint as r=19 at the resolution R=1800, which is equivalent to the design aim of r=20 for the resolution R=500.

To maximize the scientific potential of the facility, wide national participation and international collaboration have been emphasized. The survey has two major components: the LAMOST ExtraGAlactic Survey (LEGAS) and the LAMOST Experiment for Galactic Understanding and Exploration (LEGUE) survey of Milky Way stellar structure.

The first observation mission of the LAMOST regular survey launched on September 28, 2012 (Luo et al., 2012), and have been already successfully finishied on July 15, 2013. Totally, over 1.2 million spectra with signal to noise larger than 10 of 689 square degrees are obtained. The data set of LAMOST data

scopic survey, have already published to domestic data users and foreign partners. The DR1 totally contains 2,204,860 spectra, including 717,660 spectra of pilot survey and 1,487,200 spectra of regular survey.

In the stellar catalog, there are 648,820 stars in pilot survey and 1,295,586 stars in the first year spectroscopic survey. In addition, the stellar catalog contains the atmospheric parameters of 1,085,404 stars, which becomes the largest stellar spectral parameters catalog in the world at present.

The secend year observation mission of the LAMOST regular survey launched on September, 2013, and have been already successfully accomplished on July ,2014 under the joint effort of entire staffs of the center for operation and development of Guoshoujing telescope. Totally, over 1.3 million spectra with signal to noise larger than 10 are obtained during the past year, which sufficiently demonstrate the advantage of LAMOST in spectra accessing. The data set of LAMOST data release two (DR2), including spectra of the pilot survey and spectra of the past two years of the regular spectroscopic survey, have already published to domestic data users and foreign partners. The DR2 totally contains 4,136,482 spectra, including 909,520 spectra of pilot survey and 3,226,962 spectra of regular survey. In addition, the DR2 contains the atmospheric parameters of 2,207,788 stars, which becomes the largest stellar spectral parameters catalog in the world at present. [1]

2.2 Data Selection

As described above, we mainly used the *WISE All-sky* catalog and LAMOST DR2 stellar catalog to search IR excess stars in this paper. Before doing the cross-match, we chose the FGK stars from LAMOST DR2 stellar catalog, because the FGK stars have more reliable photometric information. Then we uploaded the coordinates of chosen FGK stars into WISE all-sky catalog download website with matching radius 6" (Wu et al., 2013) and $cc_{flags} = 0000$ (Contamination and confusion flag), 5,511 stars are obtained.

The Signal to Noise (S/N) is an important index for photometric precision and it affects the selecting accuracy of candidates directly. So we deleted those sources with $S/N \leq 15$ in the W1, W2, W3, W4, g and i band from 5,511 sources. Then 2,214 sources are left.

To reduce the effection of variable star, contamination and bad pixels in the CCD, we considered the related index (var_flg and $w?flg$ Symbol ? indicates w1, w2, w3 and w4 band) provided in the WISE *All-sky* catalog. These indexes can help us select out stars with much higher photometric accuracy. To this step, 2,134 sources are obtained.

Moreover, there is another important index, saturation! In the WISE catalog, saturation begins to occur for point sources brighter than $w1 \sim 8$, $w2 \sim 7$, $w3 \sim 4$ and $w4 \sim 0$ mag. By this criterion, there will be no saturated stars in our candidates. Last, we obtained 1,120 stars (gray regions in Figure 1.)

The method of selecting 22 μm excess stars is similar with our previous work (Wu et al., 2013). In this work, we gave the $\mathrm{K_s} - [22]$ criterion by fitting the histgram of $\mathrm{K_s} - [22]$ with a gaussian function. Figure 2 shows the histgram of $\mathrm{K_s} - [22]$. To reduce the bias introduced by the small number of matched catalog, we use 4σ confidence for all the 1,120 sources. That means the criterion used in this work is $\mathrm{K_s} - [22] \geq \mu + 4\sigma = 0.387$. The $J - H$ vs. $K_s - [22]$ diagram can also help to reject those with incorrect spectral type by comparing with normal dwarf stars (dashed line in Figure 3). There are 64 sources



Fig. 1 Distribution of tne 22 μm excess stars in a Galactic Aitoff projection. The red points are the 10 IR-excess candidates and the gray points are the distribution of the matched sources from LAMOST DR2 stellar catalog. The molecular cloud and the star formation region locate between the two black solid lines.

with $\mathrm{K_s} - [22] \geq 0.387$. To remove the background contamination, we selected those with *IRAS* 100 μm backgound level lower than 5 MJysr^{-1} (Kennedy & Wyatt, 2012; Wu et al., 2013). Then 54 sources are eliminated and ten [22] μm excess candidates are obtained lastly. (red points in Figure 1).

3 ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

3.1 Notes on candidates

Table 1 shows the selected 10 IR excess candidates. The names of the 10 candidates are from *WISE* catalog. From the values of $K - [22]$ list in Table 1 we can see that all the ten candidates show obviously excess in the 22 μm. log$g$, T_{eff}, [Fe/H], R_v and Spectral type are the stellar parameters provided by LAMOST DR2. The fractional luminosity f_d, which is defined as the ratio of integrated infrared excess of the disk to bolometric luminosity of star, is also estimated for each candidate. More detailed can be seen in Section 3.4.

Compared with database (like *SIMBAD*) and previous work, they are all the newly identified 22 μm excess candidates. The spectral type of the ten candidates range from F2 to G9.

3.2 Hertzsprung-Russell Diagram

Generally, researches of debris disks or IR excess stars mainly focus on the main-sequence stars. However, there are still some work about giant stars with IR excess ((Jones, 2008; Groenewegen, 2012)). The stellar parameters provided in LAMOST DR2 can help us to separate main-sequence stars and giants. Figure 4



Fig. 2 Goodness of fit for all matched sources. The criterion is $K_s - [22]_{\mu m} \geq \mu + 4\sigma = 0.387$, which means that those with $K_s - [22]_{\mu m} \geq 0.387$ can be identified as 22 μm excess stars.

From Figure 4 we can see that 9 sources are obviously main sequence stars. Among the 10 candidates, 5 stars are F type stars and 5 G type stars. They are all belong to the $Sunlike$ stars and noted in Table 1.

3.3 Spectral Energy Distribution

Figure 5 shows the SEDs of 10 selected IR excess candidates. All these SEDs are fitted by using optical band information provided by *LAMOST* DR2, near infrared band (J, H, K, W1, W2, W3 and W4) provided by *2MASS* and *WISE*. The fitted stellar parameters are consistent with those given by LAMOST. (Table 1) (Robitaille et al., 2007; Kurucz, 1979). All the candidates show an obvious excess at 22 μm (W4), even at 12 μm band (Figure 5). The up triangular symbols mean flux excess comparing to black body radiation. Some even show excess in the 3.4 μm band (**J002623.58+403943.1**, **J070240.89+114104.0** and **J070904.25+202205.4**), which indicates that they are surrounded by hotter dust than other candidates.

Since there is no photometric information in longer band, we do not know the peak of the infrared excess and can not determine the shape of disks around candidates. Therefore, we need far-infrared observation to confirm in the future.



Fig. 3 Diagram of $J-H$ vs. $Ks-[22]$. The red star symbols show the distribution of FGK stars. Normal dwarf stars are plotted as black dashed line, the corresponding spectral types are also labeled. The gray dotted line gives the criterion of the 22μm excess candidates.

Table 1 10 mid-infrared excess stars from LAMOST DR2 Stellar Catalog

ID (LAMOST)	RA (J2000)	DEC (J2000)	K-[22] (mag)	log g	e_log g	T_{eff} (K)	e_T_{eff}	[Fe/H]	e_[Fe/H]	R_v	SPT	f_d
J000153.53+330250.0	0.4730602	33.0472467	5.017	4.527	0.518	5666.7	224.52	0.004	0.242	14.02	G6	2.3e-3
J002623.58+403943.1	6.5982554	40.6619950	7.779	4.117	0.622	5905.99	222.03	-0.228	0.237	-58.37	G2	3.5e-2
J012825.91+432504.2	22.1079984	43.4178478	3.913	4.209	0.485	6242.06	159.5	-0.146	0.165	-39.14	F6	4.2e-4
J023430.10+243831.9	38.625456	24.6422148	5.120	4.713	0.331	5068.22	83.28	-0.006	0.116	-3.16	G9	2.1e-3
J070240.89+114104.0	105.6703877	11.6844692	6.207	4.379	0.481	6199.84	176.02	-0.011	0.175	-13.49	F2	9.0e-3
J070904.25+202205.4	107.2677215	20.3681877	6.096	3.722	0.739	5530.51	183.66	-0.596	0.233	46.47	G3	8.9e-3
J083820.18+283823.0	129.5841041	28.6397304	2.833	4.343	0.420	6201.13	86.15	-0.175	0.101	31.7	F7	2.1e-4
J151031.26+072454.1	227.6302529	7.415044	3.646	4.301	0.470	6034.04	110.4	-0.4	0.136	11.88	F7	3.9e-4
J162412.18+385720.8	246.0507688	38.9558047	5.962	4.33	0.480	6255.22	206.16	-0.364	0.237	-61.45	F5	3.5e-3
J173214.60+360622.1	263.0608529	36.106158	4.537	4.144	0.548	5914.00	143.17	0.159	0.136	-49.44	G3	1.1e-3

Column 1: Names of candidates

Column 2-3: Coordinates of candidates

Column 4: K-[22] - Criterion of selecting 22 μm excess

Column 5: log$g$ - Surface Gravity

Column 6: e_log$g$ - Surface Gravity Uncertainty

Column 7: T_{eff} - Effective Temperature

Column 8: e_T_{eff} - Effective Temperature Uncertainty

Column 9: [Fe/H] - Metallicity

Column 10: e_[Fe/H] - Metallicity Uncertainty

Column 11: R_v - Heliocentric Radial Velocity

Column 12: SPT - Spectral type

Column 13: f_d - Fractional Luminosity



Fig. 4 H-R Diagram of 22 μm execss stars. Gray + symbols are the more than selected 1000 sources from LAMOST DR2 catalog. Red points are the 10 candidates with excess IR, 9 of them are obviously the main-sequence stars.



Fig. 5 The SEDs of 10 candidates. They all almost show excess from 12 μm band. There are 3 candidates even show obvious excess from 3.4 μm band.

3.4 Fractional Luminosity

The fractional luminosity f_d ($f_d = L_{IR}/L_* = F_{IR}/F_*$) can be used to characterize the amount of dust. So we estimated f_d in this paper. We assumed νL_ν as the total IR luminosity L_{IR} because of the absence of longer bands in WISE. Detailed method can be seen in Wu et al. (2013).

The fractional luminosities of ten candidates are listed in Table 1. All the f_ds mainly range from 10^{-4} to 10^{-3}. Only the f_d of **J002623.58+403943.1** is even lager than 10^{-2}. It has been argued that debris disks are confined to $f_d < 10^2$. So **J002623.58+403943.1** probably contains a significant amount of gas (Artymowicz, 1996; Wu et al., 2012). It is worth to verified in the future work. There is no consensus on the relation between f_d and ages (Wu et al., 2013). So we can not conclude whether these candidates are young or old.

4 SUMMARY

In this work, we use LAMOST DR2 stellar catalog and *WISE All-sky catalog* to search for 22 μm excess candidates. The searching method used in this paper is $J-H$ vs $K_s-[22]$ diagram (Wu et al., 2013). Then we obtain 10 high-precision candidates with 22 μm excess. Each candidate presented here can be studied further with higher angular resolutioninfrared imaging or infrared spectroscopy. Among the 10 candidates, 5 stars are F type stars and 5 are G stars. We also provide the SEDs for all the candidates covering wavelength from optical to mid-infrared band. From the SEDs, we find that almost all of the 10 candidates show obvious excess in 12 μm. There are 3 candidates even show excess in 3.4 μm. Finally, we estimated the fractional luminosity f_d for each candidate. There is one candidate even has f_d larger than 10^{-2}.

Acknowledgements Ch.-J. Wu thanks C. Liu and Y.-F. Huang for valuable discussion and L. Lan for warmhearterd help. This project is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 11403061), the China Ministry of Science and Technology under the State Key Development Program for Basic Research (2014CB845705, 2012CB821800), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant Nos. 11173030, 11225316, 11078017, 11303038, 10833006, 10978014, and 10773014), the Key Laboratory of Optical Astronomy, National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Guoshoujing Telescope (the Large Sky Area Multi-Object Fiber Spectroscopic Telescope LAMOST) is a National Major Scientific Project built by the Chinese Academy of Sciences. Funding for the project has been provided by the National Development and Reform Commission. LAMOST is operated and managed by the National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

References

Artymowicz, P. 1996, New York: Springer, 137 10

Aumann, H. H., Beichman, C. A., Gillett, F. C., et al. 1984, ApJ, 278, L23 1

Cui, X.-Q., Zhao, Y.-H., Chu, Y.-Q., & thers. 2012, RAA, 12, 1197 2

Debes, J. H., Hoard, D. W., Wachter, S., et al. 2011, ApJS, 197, 38 2

Gorlova, N., Padgett, D. L., Rieke, G. H., et al. 2004, ApJS, 154, 448 1

Groenewegen, M. A. T. 2012, A&A, 540, A32 4

Hovhannisyan, L. R., Mickaelian, A. M., Weedman, D. W., et al. 2009, AJ, 138, 251 1

Jones, M. H. 2008, MNRAS, 387, 845 4

Kennedy, G. M., & Wyatt, M. C. 2012, MNRAS, 426, 91 4

Koerner, D. W., Kim, S., Trilling, D. E., et al. 2010, ApJ, 710, L26 1

Kurucz, R. L. 1979, ApJS, 40, 1 5

Luhman, K. L., & Mamajek, E. E. 2012, ApJ, 758, 31 2

Luo, A.-L., Zhang, H.-T., Zhao, Y.-H., et al. 2012, RAA, 12, 1243 2

Patel, R. I., Metchev, S. A., & Heinze, A. 2014, ApJS, 212, 10 2

Rhee, J. H., Song, I., Zuckerman, B., et al. 2007, ApJ, 660, 1556 1

Ribas, Á., Merín, B., Ardila, D. R., et al. 2012, A&A, 541, A38 2

Rizzuto, A. C., Ireland, M. J., & Zucker, D. B. 2012, MNRAS, 421, L97 2

Robitaille, T. P., Whitney, B. A., Indebetouw, R., et al. 2007, ApJS, 169, 328 5

Theissen, C. A., & West, A. A. 2014a, ApJ, 794, 146 2

Theissen, C., & West, A. A. 2014b, in American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts, Vol. 223, American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts 223, 441.16 2

Theissen, C., & West, A. A. 2014c, in American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts, Vol. 224, American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts 224, 322.10 2

Thompson, R. I. 1982, ApJ, 257, 171 1

Vican, L., & Schneider, A. 2014, ApJ, 780, 154 2

Wright, E. L., Eisenhardt, P. R. M., Mainzer, A. K., et al. 2010, AJ, 140, 1868 2

Wu, C.-J., Wu, H., Lam, M.-I., et al. 2013, ApJS, 208, 29 1, 2, 3, 4, 10

Wu, H., Wu, C.-J., Cao, C., et al. 2012, RAA, 12, 513 1, 10

Zhao, G., Zhao, Y.-H., Chu, Y.-Q., et al. 2012, RAA, 12, 723 2